

Supreme Court of Misconsin

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

110 E. MAIN STREET, SUITE 215 P.O. BOX 1688

MADISON, WISCONSIN 53701-1688

Telephone (608) 266-1880 TTY Users: Call WI TRS at 1-800-947-3529; request (608) 266-1880 Fax (608) 267-0640 Web Site: www.wicourts.gov Diane M. Fremgen Clerk of Supreme Court

WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT MONTHLY STATISTICAL REPORT

February 2012

This statistical report presents information about the case filings and dispositions of the Wisconsin Supreme Court during the month of February 2012 and to date for the term that began on September 1, 2011.

Opinions Issued by the Court

The Supreme Court issued opinions resolving 11 cases in February. Information about these opinions, including the Court's dispositions and the names of the authoring justices, can be found on the attached table.

<u>Fe</u>	bruary 2012	Term to Date
Total number of cases resolved by opinion	<u>11</u>	<u>25</u>
Attorney disciplinary cases		14
Judicial disciplinary cases		0
Civil cases	3	5
Criminal cases	3	6

Petitions for Review

A total of 56 petitions for review were filed during the month. A petition for review asks the Supreme Court to review the decision of the Court of Appeals. The Supreme Court's jurisdiction is discretionary, meaning that review is granted in selected cases only. In February, the Supreme Court disposed of 74 petitions for review, of which 5 petitions were granted. The Supreme Court currently has 206 petitions for review pending.

	February 2012	Term to Date
Petitions for Review filed	<u>56</u>	<u>389</u>
Civil cases	28	185
Criminal cases	28	204

Petition for Review dispositions	<u>74</u>	<u>507</u>
Civil cases (petitions granted)		252 (23)
Criminal cases (petitions granted)	31 (0)	255 (9)

Petitions for Bypass

In February, the Supreme Court received 1 petition for bypass and disposed of 1 petitions for bypass. In a petition for bypass, a party requests that the Supreme Court take jurisdiction of an appeal or other proceeding pending in the Court of Appeals. A matter appropriate for bypass is usually one which meets one or more of the criteria for review by the Supreme Court and one the Supreme Court concludes will ultimately choose to consider regardless of how the Court of Appeals might decide the issues. A petition for bypass may also be granted where there is a clear need to hasten the ultimate appellate decision. The Supreme Court currently has 1 petition for bypass pending.

	February 2012	Term to Date
Petitions for Bypass filed	1	4 3 1
Petition for Bypass dispositions	$\overline{1}$ (0)	5 5 (1) 0 (0)

Requests for Certification

During February 2012, the Supreme Court received 1 request for certification and disposed of 0 request for certification. In a request for certification, the Court of Appeals asks the Supreme Court to exercise its appellate jurisdiction before the Court of Appeals hears the matter. A request for certification is decided on the basis of the same criteria as a petition to bypass. The Supreme Court currently has 1 request for certification pending.

	February 2012	Term to Date
Requests for Certification filed Civil cases Criminal cases	0	4 2 2
Request for Certification dispositions	$\overline{0}$ (0)	5 3 (2) 2 (2)

Regulatory Matters, Supervisory Writs, and Original Actions

During the month, a total of 8 matters within the regulatory jurisdiction of the Court (bar admission, lawyer discipline, and judicial discipline) were filed and 0 such cases were reopened. The Supreme Court also received 6 petitions for supervisory writ, which ask the Supreme Court to order the Court of Appeals or a circuit court to take a certain action in a case. Two original actions were filed. An original action is a petition asking the Supreme Court to take jurisdiction over a particular matter. When an opinion is issued in these cases, the disposition is included in "Opinions Issued by the Court" above; otherwise, the case is disposed of by order and is included in the totals below. The Supreme Court currently has 42 regulatory matters and 14 petitions for supervisory writ pending.

<u>F</u>	ebruary 2012	Term to Date
<u>Filings</u>		
Attorney discipline (including reopened cases)	. 8	39
Judicial discipline	. 0	0
Bar admission	. 0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ		37
Other (including Original Actions)	. 2	5
Dispositions by Order		
Attorney discipline	. 1	11
Judicial discipline	. 0	0
Bar admission	. 0	0
Petitions for Supervisory Writ	. 10	37
Other (including Original Actions)		7

DECISIONS BY THE WISCONSIN SUPREME COURT

OPINIONS ISSUED DURING FEBRUARY 2012

ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE CASES

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	Date
2011AP002625-D	Office of Lawyer Regulation (OLR) v. Mark Milos 90 Day Suspension Per Curiam ¹	02/03/2012
2011AP001700-D	OLR v. Warren L. Brandt 4 Month Suspension Per Curiam	02/09/2012
2011AP002263-D	OLR v. Katharine L. Taber 1 Year Suspension Per Curiam	02/09/2012
2011AP001073-D	OLR v. Arik J. Guenther 90 Day Suspension Per Curiam	02/10/2012
2011AP002448-D	OLR v. Michael J. Hicks Public Reprimand Per Curiam	02/10/2012

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES

Docket No.	<u>Title</u>	<u>Date</u>
2010AP000445-CR	State v. Sharon A. Sellhausen Reversed and remanded to Court of Appeals. Majority Opinion: Abrahamson, C.J. Concurrence: Abrahamson, C. J. joined by Bradley, J. Concurrence: Ziegler, J. joined by Prosser, J., Roggensack, J. and Gableman, J.	02/01/2012

¹ "Per Curiam" means "by the Court." Opinions issued *per curiam* are handed down by the Court as a whole.

2008AP002759-CR	State v. Daniel H. Hanson Court of Appeals decision affirmed. Majority Opinion: Roggensack, J. Concurrence: Bradley, J. Dissent: Abrahamson, C. J.	02/01/2012
2010AP002398	Loren B. Zwiefelhofer v. Town of Cooks Valley Judgment of circuit court is reversed. <u>Majority Opinion</u> : Abrahamson, C. J. Prosser, J. did not participate.	02/08/2012
2010AP000772-CR	State v. Carl L. Dowdy Court of Appeals decision affirmed. Majority Opinion: Ziegler, J. Dissent: Abrahamson, C.J. Dissent: Bradley, J. Prosser, J. did not participate.	02/14/2012
2008AP001830	MBS-Certified Public Accountants, LLC v. Wisconsin Bell, Inc. Court of Appeals decision reversed and remanded. Majority Opinion: Bradley, J. Concurrence/Dissent: Prosser, J. joined by Gableman, J. Abrahamson, C. J. did not participate.	02/24/2012
2009AP003075	State v. Basil E. Ryan, Jr. Court of Appeals decision reversed and remanded to circuit court. Majority Opinion: Bradley, J. Concurrence: Ziegler, J. joined by Gableman, J. Prosser, J. did not participate.	02/28/2012